Item:

19



## Report to Partnership Meeting 27 November 2015

#### **PARTNERSHIP**

Risk Management: Risk Register Update – October / November 2015

#### PURPOSE OF REPORT

For the Partnership Board to review the Strategic, Management/Operational and Financial Risks faced by the Partnership and through doing so ensure significant risks are identified, evaluated and controlled.

#### **BACKGROUND**

The Partnership agreed a Risk Management Strategy at its Meeting in February 2011 in reflection of a need for HITRANS to be pro-active and prepared in the way we manage our risk portfolio. Incorporating a more formal approach to risk management in our day to day operations enables us to better deliver our responsibilities and work towards achieving our objectives.

A number of actions are required to implement this Strategy including identifying the risks faced, assessing the risks, integrating risk management into the business planning process, challenging and reviewing the process, and reporting.

The HITRANS Risk Register was originally presented to the Board in April 2011 and is subject to annual review, the latest of which was undertaken in November 2014. This has again been reviewed by Officers to ensure it continues to support the good management of the major risks faced by HITRANS. The revised list of Risk Management Controls is included in the Appendix to the report and approval of this Register, which covers Strategic, Management and Operational, and Financial Risks faced by the Partnership, is now sought.

The risks faced by the Partnership as reflected in the Risk Register will continue to be monitored by HITRANS Officers and Advisors, and subsequently presented to the Partnership for monitoring and approval on at least an annual basis.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

Members are asked to approve adoption of the HITRANS Risk Register November 2015 as included in the Appendix to the Report.

Risk	impact	Comment
RTS delivery	1	Formal management of the risks faced by the Partnership in delivering the RTS will support its better achievement
Policy	V	Formal consideration of the risks faced by the Partnership will support improved policy development
Financial	V	Formal consideration by the Board of the financial risks faced by the Partnership supports improved financial management.
Equality	-	

Report by:

Designation:

Partnership Director

16<sup>th</sup> November 2015

Background Paper: Appendix A HITRANS Risk Register November 2015

# Appendix: HITRANS RISK REGISTER - NOVEMBER 2015

# **RISK MANAGEMENT - CONTROLS**

DATE

-	17-Nov-14												
				Inherent				Residual					
Ref	Detail	Nature of Risk	Impact	Likelihood	Risk	Controls	Impact	Likelihood	Risk	Owner	Actions		
100 Strategic Risks - RTS delivery													
101	Stakeholders may not focus efforts towards delivery of the RTS	Political - Councils and local public sector stakeholders may focus on local Single Output Agreement commitments, and Governments on national priorities in other areas	5	4	Н	monitoring systems in place to review and report on success in delivering RTS	5	3	Н	Director/ Board	1. HITRANS lobbies for refocusing of national funding to provide element for regional projects and is currently represented in the NTS Stakeholder Group.		
						2. RTP makes case for transport interventions and actions through CPP				Director/ Board	2. HITRANS continues to engage with CPPs and Development Planning Authorities promoting case for a strategic transport focus		
102	Funding may not be available to deliver the interventions necessary to deliver strategy by 2022	Financial/ political	4	5	Н	RTP looks for alternative sources of match funding	4	3	M	Director /Board/ Officers	1. HITRANS continues to engage with CPPs and Development Planning Authorities promoting case for a strategic transport focus		
						2. RTP makes case for transport interventions and actions to Government					2. Commitment by Government to deliver a number of key road and rail projects within the region as part of the Infrastructure Investment Plan		

		H									3. RTS Light Touch Refresh underway in 2015/16 to reflects current programmes and plans that impact on RTS Delivery.  4. HITRANS continues to look to work with regional partners within the EU to trial best practice and innovation
103	Case for delivery of RTS not made and reinforced	political	5	4	Н	1. development of effective publicity through website and press releases and newsletters  2. Chair and Vice Chair to promote case with Government, business and Council leaders	5	3	M	Director/ chair and Vice Chair	1. Case for specific projects, e.g. rail projects, A9, A96, A82, air access to London and Amsterdam, promoted both publicly and in private with Government and key stakeholders
104	Continuity of Board focus and effectiveness	political	4	4	Н	1. Councils in appointing Members to the HITRANS Board will elect representatives with the knowledge and experience in the transport sector commensurate with HITRANS roles and functions  2. Changes to Board Membership and current Chair may impact on the role.	4	2	L	Director/ Board	1. Seminars and information sessions wll be a feature of Partnership meetings and pre meetings to ensure Board Members are engaged fully in HITRANS policy development and current critical issues including the RTS refresh.

201	Inadequate staff resource available to carry out actions agreed by Board	HR/ financial	5	3	M	HR support in employing optimum staff compliment	4	1	L	Director	PDRs undertaken and workload reviewed
						Staff training and development					2. Short term internships considered as option to increase resources.
						Staff absence through illness and other factors including maternity leave.					3. Use of consultants with specialist knowledge and skills in short term support roles when necessary
202	Failure of IT systems	technical	4	3	M	Good IT support systems	4	1	L	Director/ Office Managers	Employment of effective IT support services
						Effective     backup     arrangements for     hardware and files					2. Programmed approach to replacement of IT equipment
						Systematic updates to server, laptops and website					3. Monitor performance of broadband and server systems to ensure optimum service
203	Actions taken on behalf of HITRANS exposes Partnership to corporate or financial liability	operational/ financial	5	2	M	1. rules of delegation from Board	5	1	L	Director/ Finance manager	Regular reviews of Financial and Contract Systems
						2. Service procurement and monitoring arrangements in					
204	Reliance on knowledge and actions of individuals may result in business failure if they leave or are unavailable	Human Resources	5	4	Н	place 1. HR procurement arrangements and employment conditions adequate to retain staff and replace individuals if needed	5	3	M	Director/ HR support	1. Recruitment programme put in place to ensure continuity between staff changes but no anticipated risk at present.

301	Expenditure exceeds budget	financial	4	3	M	effective financial monitoring reporting and control systems in place to manage expenditure	4	1	L	Finance Manager/ Director	Regular reviews undertaken to monitor expenditure during year, meetings with Finance Manager by exception. Programmes varied by Board to reflect changes.
										Auditors	systems and procedures checked on regular basis by both internal and external audit
302	Projects expenditure slips resulting in year on year funding discrepancy	financial	4	3	M	effective     financial     monitoring     reporting and     control systems in     place to manage     expenditure	4	2	L	Financial Manager/ Director	1. Regular reviews undertaken by Team to monitor expenditure during year, meetings with Finance Manager by exception. Programmes varied by Board to reflect changes.
						2. HITRANS will work to meet its efficiency targets and reduce core costs					2. revision to RTP funding rules sought to allow 10% carry over between years (yet to be achieved)
303	Income from Government and Councils reduces	operational/ financial	4	4	Н	Proposed use of funding clearly identified before budgets agreed for subsequent years	3	4	M	Director/ Chair	1. In the event of reduced income being available, review the extent of services procured from Councils through Service Level Agreements with a view to undertaking more tasks in-house